

Seminar: Well-being and Civil Safety across Europe

Pyhätunturi (FI), 22-25 June 2014



European Public Sector Award

Development of Well-being and Civil Safety in Municipalities: What did the evaluators say?

Julia Bosse (DE), Research Assistant, EIPA Barcelona



An impartial, independent and sophisticated evaluation & selection process



STEP 4: Jury meeting

to select and decide on the group of nominees, EPSA winners (one per category) and honourable mentions.

Period: 25 September 2013

STEP 3: Onsite validation visits

to shortlisted projects for validation and verification purposes.

Period: July to mid-September 2013

STEP 2: Consensus meeting

to reach a commonly agreed list of ranked projects per category; to define the best practice certificate recipients; and to agree on top-ranked shortlisted projects for onsite visits.

Period: 19-20 June 2013

STEP 1: Individual online evaluation

by each evaluator, in isolation and remotely based. Each project evaluated by three evaluators (triple evaluation).

Period: 13-17 May 2013

15 Nominees (5 per category),
3 Award Winners,
2 Honourable Mentions

19 projects from **11 countries**

47 BPCs from **18 countries** and **1** from the EU institutions

227 applications from **26 countries** and EU institutions

- 1. Innovation:** i.e. the novelty of the solution, the degree to which the case shows a leap of creativity in the practice of public administration and demonstrates something different that goes beyond what currently exists;
- 2. Appropriateness of Actions Taken:** i.e. the extent to which the case demonstrates a serious response appropriate to the economic circumstances of applicant;
- 3. Impact/Results:** i.e. the realisation of planned objectives and activities; proven evidence of benefits and visible impact and tangible results;
- 4. Sustainability:** i.e. elements which allow the case to be sustained beyond an initial period of the realisation of its objectives and of its activities.



5. **Transferability and Learning Capacity:** i.e. that the case has potential value and lessons learnt to other entities thanks to potential replicability; stimulation of learning, innovation and self-improvement within the entity;
6. **Stakeholder Support:** i.e. the case shows e.g. evidence of intelligent engagement with civil society, evidence of public consultation, response to consultation, evidence of political support;
7. **Social Equity:** i.e. the case provides evidence of consideration and application of social equity issues, e.g. decisions of gender, age, geographical impact;
8. **Effect on Economic Growth:** i.e. that the case is based on an assessment of the effect of decisions made on future economic recovery (employment, output, skills etc.): ideally positive but at least not damaging to growth in the medium term.



*The project ‘Development of Wellbeing and Civil Safety in Municipalities’ strengthens the stable rural development in the context of an ageing population with **a strong replication potential** in comparable remote rural areas. It addresses successfully one of the big challenges on wellbeing of citizens, which is a great challenge for all European regions.*

